

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
MISSOULA DIVISION

WILDEARTH GUARDIANS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

and

SWAN VIEW COALITION, et al.,

Consolidated Plaintiffs,

vs.

KURTIS E. STEELE, et al.,

Defendants,

and

DAVID BERNHARDT, et al.,

Consolidated Defendants,

and

MONTANA LOGGING  
ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Defendant-Intervenors.

Lead Case No.  
CV 19-56-M-DWM

Member Case No.  
CV 19-60-M-DWM

ORDER

Defendant-Intervenors move for the admission of Lawson E. Fite to practice before this Court in this case with Mark L. Stermitz to act as local counsel. While Mr. Fite's application appears to be in order, the Local Rules of this Court limit

*pro hac vice* admission to attorneys who are “not regularly employed in Montana.” L.R. 83.1(d)(1). Though “regularly” is not defined in the rule, more than four concurrent representations in unrelated cases crosses the line into “regular” practice. *Cf.* Section VI(C), 2009 Amended Rules for Admission to the Bar of Montana (limiting an attorney to two *pro hac* admissions unless good cause is shown).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Defendant-Intervenors’ motion to admit Lawson E. Fite *pro hac vice* (Doc. 93) is GRANTED on the condition that *pro hac* counsel shall do his or her own work. This means that *pro hac* counsel must do his or her own writing; sign his or her own pleadings, motions, and briefs; and appear and participate personally. Counsel shall take steps to register in the Court’s electronic filing system (“CM-ECF”). Further information is available on the Court’s website, [www.mtd.uscourts.gov](http://www.mtd.uscourts.gov), or from the Clerk’s Office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Fite may not seek *pro hac vice* admission in any other cases assigned to the undersigned. If Mr. Fite seeks admission from another judge in the District of Montana he must explicitly state this limitation in the text of his motion for admission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is subject to withdrawal unless *pro hac* counsel, within fifteen (15) days of the date of this Order, files a notice acknowledging counsel’s admission under the terms set forth above. In that notice,

counsel shall designate a single attorney with the authority to make any and all decisions related to the administration of this case as the primary point of contact for the opposing party.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2021.



---

Donald W. Molloy, District Judge  
United States District Court

